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The effects of superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticle exposure on gene expression patterns in the neural stem cells under magnetic field
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Abstract
Background: Due to the excellent reliable traceability and superparamagnetic properties, superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIOs) are widely used for the applications in the field of biomedicine, including tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. However, the regulation of SPIOs on the gene expressions in the stem cells is not clear.
Methods: In this study, by RNA-Seq analysis, we analyzed the gene expression pattern in the neural stem cells (NSCs) treated with SPIOs in the presence or absence of static magnetic field (SMF).
Results: It was found that SPIOs with SMF regulated more gene expression in NSCs, while most of these genes have been previously reported to play a crucial role in NSCs fate decision.
Conclusions: Our findings reveal the ability of SPIOs and SMF in the regulation of gene expression in NSCs, which may provide an experimental basis for its applications.
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Introduction
As nanoparticles display remarkable magnetic responsiveness, the diameter of superparamagnetic nanoparticles is generally less than 30 nm. Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIOs) are one kind of superparamagnetic nanoparticles that are widely reported for the applications in the field of biomedicine due to their high stability, good biological compatibility (1), and excellent superparamagnetism under magnetic fields (MFs) (2, 3). Specifically, SPIOs are demonstrated to regulate stem cell behaviors, including cell proliferation, directed differentiation and migration (4). The abovementioned ability indicates that SPIOs could be used in the regenerative medicine and tissue engineering. For example, a study in human mesenchymal stem cells showed that SPIOs successfully increased stem cell proliferation via accelerating cell cycle progression and diminishing intracellular oxidative stress (5). Another study found that osteogenesis of human bone-derived mesenchymal stem cells was promoted by SPIOs (6). Similar findings were reported in another study where osteogenic differentiation of adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells was boosted (7). The current reports clearly highlight the potential of SPIOs in the regulation of stem cell behaviors. As magnetic responsiveness biomaterial, it is inevitable to consider the cell behaviors under MFs. In fact, MFs have been proved to regulate cell proliferation in the last century (8). They can also control the stem cell differentiation, for instance, to osteoclasts (9) and osteoblasts and cartilage (10). Importantly, when co-treated with magnetic nanomaterials and MFs, cell behaviors were expectably affected. For example, they can facilitate drug delivery (11) and guide the growth direction of neurons (12). Thus, to understand the mechanisms of the regulation of SPIO in neural stem cells (NSCs) under the presence of MFs, we explored the gene expression pattern in the NSCs when treated with SPIO and MFs.

Methods
SPIOs synthesis
Classic chemical co-precipitation methods were employed to synthesize the SPIOs in the study, which was described
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previously (13). In brief, 10 mL aqueous solution of polyglucose sorbitol carboxymethyl ether (200 mg) was aerated with nitrogen for 6 min to remove oxygen. Then, FeCl₂ and FeCl₃ were dissolved in deionized water, and the reaction mixture was added to the polyglucose sorbitol carboxymethyl solution. Subsequently, ammonium hydroxide (1 g, 28% w/v) was added to the mixed solution and stirred in a water bath for 30 min. at 80°C. Finally, the nanoparticles were collected using an ultrafiltration centrifuge tube and washed with ultrapure water for couple of times.

NSCs isolation and culture
Neural stem cells, isolated from the mouse hippocampus, were maintained in the DMEM-F12 medium (Gibco, Grand Island, NY) supplemented with B-27 (2%, Gibco), streptomycin (100 µg/mL, Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and penicillin (100 U/mL, Sigma) under the conditions of 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Cells were passed every 3 days. The cells at passages 5–10 were used for the subsequent experiments. The NSCs were treated with 300 µg/mL SPIOs with or without static magnetic field (SMF) (100 ± 10 mT) for 3 days. Animal studies were approved by the Care and Use of Animals Committee of Southeast University.

RNA extraction for RNA-Seq analysis
The cells were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline and harvested with accutase. RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) was used for isolating total RNA from the cells. Firstly, TruSeq™ RNA sample preparation kit (Illumina) was applied to synthesize the paired-end libraries. The first-strand cDNA was synthesized using reverse transcriptase and random primers, and then second-strand cDNA was synthesized using DNA Polymerase I and RNase H. Following an end repair process, these cDNA fragments were purified and enriched with polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to create the final cDNA library. The purified libraries were quantified through Qubit® 2.0 Fluorometer (Life Technologies, Pleasanton, CA) and validated by Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) to calculate the mole concentration. Finally, clusters were generated by cBot with the library diluted to 10 pM and then were sequenced on the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 (Illumina). The library construction and sequencing were performed at Shanghai Sinomics Corporation.

Results

Global gene expression profile analysis
The differences in transcript expression levels were compared between the negative control group, the SPIOs incubation group (300 µg/mL) (SPIOs group), the SMF (100 ± 10 mT) group and the combined treated group of SMF (100 ± 10 mT) SPIOs (300 µg/mL) (SMF + SPIOs group). The NSCs in all groups were cultured for 3 days before gene expression profile by microarray assay. SMF treatment induced the medium number of significant differences in gene expression (total number is 1136,
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558 up-regulated genes and 578 down-regulated genes) (Fig. 1A and D). The significant differences in gene expression were observed in the NSCs treated with 300 mg/mL SPIOs, with at least 201 genes (127 up-regulated genes and 74 down-regulated genes) (Fig. 1B and E). Moreover, 1104 up-regulated genes and 866 down-regulated genes were detected under co-treatment group (Fig. 1C and 1), which had the most significant differences gene. In addition, Venn diagrams were shown to present the connections between the different genes in each experimental group (Fig. 1G). The differentially expressed genes in the SMF group compared with the SPIOs group had 125 identical differentially expressed genes, which accounted for 57.1 and 96.8% of the total differential genes in each group. The differentially expressed genes in the SMF versus control group compared with the SMF + SPIOs group versus control group had 784 differentially expressed genes, which accounted for 85 and 50.3% of the total differential genes in each group. The experimental group co-incubated with SPIOs versus control compared with the simultaneous SMF + SPIOs group versus control group had 159 differentially expressed genes, accounting for 98.1 and 23.1% of the total differential genes of each group, respectively.

Differentially expressed genes

The most abundantly expressed genes of NSCs were explored to characterize the gene expression profiles in NSCs in these three experimental groups. The expression levels for the top 200 most abundant genes were analyzed and compared with the control group (Fig. 2A–C). It was indicated that the majority of the transcripts that were highly expressed in SPIOs, SMF and SMF + SPIOs group were also abundantly expressed in the control group. Although the most abundantly expressed genes, such as Gfap, Glul, Slc25a18, Oat, Sms-ps, spry2, Car2, and Csprr1a, were significantly highly expressed in the SMF group, Ftl1-ps1, Sms-ps were significantly highly expressed in the SPIOs group and Gfap, Ftl1-ps1, Sms-ps, and Mrps6 were significantly highly expressed in the SMF + SPIOs group.

Furthermore, the expression levels of all of the transcripts were compared, and the top 40 significant differentially expressed genes were located (Figs. 3). It was shown that the differentially expressed genes PtgS1, Cldn5, Dlx2, Spry1a, 3100003L05Rik, Hnrunp3, etc. were uniquely expressed in the SMF group (Fig. 3A). The differentially expressed genes Cldn5, Hnrunp3, Dlx2, 3100003L05Rik, Npr1, etc. were only expressed in the SPIOs group (Fig. 3B), while the differentially expressed genes Cldn5, C2cd4b, Dlx2, 3100003L05Rik, H2ac10, Sulf1, etc. were only expressed in the SMF + SPIO group (Fig. 3C). However, Bmp4, Lrc75hb, Dpp10 (Fig. 3D), Zbtb6, Hspa1a (Fig. 3E), Gsg11, Kcne4, Nog, Egr3 Arhgdig (Fig. 3F), etc. were only expressed in the control group.

Cell cycle analysis

The expression of genes regulating cell cycle and proliferation was further studied. It was found that Gfap, Gem, Dusp1, Mmp2, and S1pr3 were significantly highly expressed in SPIO groups (Fig. 4A), and that Bmp4, Nog, Ptk2c, Brn1p1, Tnixn, Pdgfra, Id2, Iglbp3, and Rgcc were significantly highly expressed in control groups (Fig. 4A). The highly expressed genes in SPIOs groups included Ccnd3, Nupr1, Dusp1, and Mmp2 (Fig. 4B). In the SMF + SPIOs group, the highly expressed genes included Nupr1, Mmp2, S1pr3, Ccnd3, Gem, Dusp1, Apc, Atrf4, Adamts1, Pldla1, and Sfrp1 (Fig. 4C). However, there were most highly expressed genes in the control group compared with the SPIO + SPIO group (Fig. 4D), including Id3, Bmp4, Iglbp3, Rgcc, Mt1, Id4, Ccnb2, Egr1, Id2, Pdgfra, and Mmp2.

Transcription factors analysis

TFs are taken as a specific element that can recognize particular DNA sequences to direct chromatin and transcription and form a complex system that guides expression of the genome. We found 26 significantly differentially expressed TFs in SMF and control groups (P < 0.05, fold change > 1). Among these genes, Dlx2, Sox7, Cebpd, Zfp180, Zfp629, Otx1, Ets2, Tead2, and Zfp740 were significantly highly expressed in the SMF groups, and Sox8, Id2, Hes5, Zfp292, Id1, Id4, Nkx2-2, Sox10, Zfp488, and Myrff were significantly highly expressed in control groups (Fig. 5A). Sox7, Cdc2, Usp3, Zfp180, Zfp28, and Erg3 were significantly highly expressed in SPIO groups, while none of those genes have been previously reported in function with NSCs. In contrast, Hopx, Zbtb6 were significantly highly expressed in control groups (Fig. 5B). The highly expressed TF genes in the SMF + SPIOs group included Dlx2, Sox7, Cebpd, Mycn, Usp3, Klf4, Zfp180, Pou5f1, and Rcxra. In the control group, the highly expressed TFs genes included Id4, Egr1, Hopx, Hes5, Id1, Id2, Id3, Sox10, Zfp292, Hnmb3, Zfp488, Klf10, etc.

Signaling pathway analysis

The fate of stem cells is regulated by a variety of signaling pathways, including Wnt, Hippo, MAPK pathways, etc. The balance of NSCs is regulated by WNT, Notch, FGF, and BMP signaling cascades (14, 15). In this study, we explored which signaling pathway is affected by SPIO and SMF treatment. As shown in Fig. 6A, we found 26 signaling pathways of differentially expressed gene enrichment. Among these, there were more up-regulated genes than down-regulated genes, especially Fzd8, Gsn, Dusp1, and Cacng5 had higher expression. In Fig. 6B, there were 21 signaling pathways of differentially expressed
gene enrichment, and the nitrogen metabolism had the maximum enrichment factor. Among these, the number of up-regulated genes was more than the down-regulated genes, but the differential expression of genes was low. There were 52 signaling pathways of differentially expressed gene enrichment in the SMF + SPIOs group compared with the control group, and there were more up-regulated genes than down-regulated genes in six main signaling pathways (Fig. 6C). Among these, Fzd8, Gsn, and Dusp1 showed higher expression.

**Discussion**

In this study, SPIOs, SMF, or SMF+SPIOs could induce differentially expressed genes in NSCs. In
transcriptome analyses, the top 200 highly expressed genes, differentially expressed genes, cell cycle-related genes, TF genes, and several signaling pathways were included.

Most of those genes have been reported to be involved in the stem cells fate decisions, specifically in NSCs. Notably, electrical stimulation might promote the expression of \textit{Mmp2} to accelerate neurite regeneration in cultured ganglion neurons (16). The effects of \textit{BMP4} have been observed in the proliferation and differentiation of NSCs. Recently, \textit{BMP4} was reported to inhibit the proliferation of monkey-derived NSCs via the Smad signaling pathway (17). Meanwhile, BMP4/LIF has the potential to promote the differentiation of monkey-derived NSCs by regulating Notch signaling (17). \textit{Brinp} family member including \textit{Brinp1} was previously reported to suppress the process of cell cycle and induce the differentiation of embryonic stem cell-derived NSCs (18). \textit{Pdgfra} could deregulate self-renewal, differentiation, and survival of NSCs in embryonic brains (19). \textit{Myc} family was proved to be a critical transcription factor in the self-renewal division of many types of stem cells (20). For example, N-Myc is necessary...
for normal neurogenesis and regulate the proliferation and differentiation of NPCs (21). *Aif4* gene encoded transcription factor ATF4, which connected with endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-stress. ER stress can be caused by the accumulation of misfolded/unfolded proteins and induces the unfolded protein response (UPR), which have adverse effects on self-renewal and differentiation of NSCs (22). While *Ccnb2* (cyclin B2) works mainly through regulating
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**Fig. 4.** Cell cycle-related differentially expressed genes of NSCs in the SMF group (A), SPIOs group (B), the SMF + SPIOs group (C), and control group (D).
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the G2/M and plays a crucial role in cell proliferation (23). Otx1 is generally connected with the development of the central nervous system and acts as a homeobox-containing transcription factor (24). In addition, the expression of Otx1 has been demonstrated to determine the number of neurons (25). Recently, Otx1 is also identified as a key element to regulate the proliferation and differentiation of cortical progenitors (26). The Krüppel-like transcription factor (KLF) families are previously reported to regulate a diverse array of cellular processes, including development, differentiation, proliferation, and apoptosis (27). Especially, Klf4 has been demonstrated as a key factor in regulating NSC proliferation and differentiation (28).

Pou3f1 is also taken as an important TF promoting neural fate (29). However, in contrast with the SMF group, the control group also included common TFs in determining the fate of NSCs. Sox10 belongs to a member of Sox E family, which has a close relationship with the differentiation of NSCs (30). The previous report suggested that the regulatory mechanism of oligodendrocyte specification and differentiation from NSCs or neural progenitor cells (NPCs) is through the transcription factors Nkx2.2 and Sox10 (31). In addition, Nkx2.2 has shown a similar function during ESC-derived NSC differentiation into oligodendrocytes (32). Zfp488 plays an important role in the development of oligodendrocyte lineage cells and

Fig. 5. Transcription factors of NSCs in different culture condition groups. (A) The expression of 26 genes involved in the transcription factor of NSCs cultured in SMF group and control group. (B) The expression of eight genes involved in the transcription factor of NSCs cultured in the SPIOs group and control group. (C) The expression of 52 genes involved in the transcription factor of NSCs cultured in the SMF + SPIOs group and control group.
Fig. 6 Signaling pathway gene expressions of NSCs in different culture condition groups. (A) The differential KEGG pathways from NSCs cultured in the SMF group versus NSCs of control. (B) The differential KEGG pathways from NSCs cultured in SPIOs group versus NSCs of control; (C) The differential KEGG pathways from NSCs cultured in the SMF and co-incubated with SPIOs group versus NSCs of control. The red bars represent the gene expression levels of NSCs cultured in the SMF group. The green bars represent the gene expression levels of NSCs cultured in the SPIOs group. The yellow bars represent the gene expression levels of NSCs cultured in the SMF + SPIOs group. The blue bars represent the gene expression levels of NSCs cultured in the control group.
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differentiation of oligodendrocyte progenitor cells (33, 34), and the expression of Zfp448 selectively directed the
date of NSCs toward generating functional oligodendrocytes (35). In a previous study, it was found that Id1, Id2, and Id3 elevated self-renewing and proliferation abilities of NSCs while inhibiting neuronal differentiation (36). Meanwhile, Id2 and Id4 play a critical role in regulating the process of cell cycle by inhibiting the effects of related proteins (37).

We also explored the actin cytoskeleton signaling pathway. According to previous reports, the actin cytoskeleton-dependent forces are necessary for various cell behaviors, including cell migration, interaction with the cell microenvironment, cell shapes and mechanical properties of the cell surface (38). However, there are few reports focusing on the direct regulation of NSC behaviors by actin cytoskeleton-dependent forces, which deserves an in-depth investigation in the future.
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